

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PATRICIA M. BIANCO. (3) Darin Gibby.

(2) Nihir Patel.

(4)

Date of Interview: 18 February 2010.

Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference

a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☑ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1.17.24 and 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: 4.397.306.

Agreement with respect to the claims fild was reached. gild was not reached. hild N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. If was agreed that the examiner will make an axaminer's amendment for amend plaims 1 and 24 to recite "subout 0.5 seconds or above" and amend claim 25 to recite "shout 1.0 seconds or above" to obvious the 112 rejection and cancer claims 17.23 The application will be allowed upon a further STO seconds.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLIDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE UNTERVIEW, USE WIFEP Sector 730 At 18 rately to be last office action has already been flet APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NOW-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE OR THE MAIL MIG DATE OF THIS UNTERVIEW. SHAMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview recommends or inverse side or on stade and side.

/Patricia Bianco/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772